Patients who wore standard magnetic bracelets reported reduced pain from osteoarthritis of the hip or knee compared with patients wearing placebo bracelets

Harlow T, Greaves C, White A, *et al.* Randomised controlled trial of magnetic bracelets for relieving pain in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. *BMJ* 2004;**329**:1450–4.

Q Does short term use of magnetic bracelets reduce pain in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip and knee?

METHODS

Design: randomised placebo controlled trial.

Allocation: {concealed}.*

Blinding: blinded (patients, healthcare providers, data analysts, {data collectors, outcome assessors, statistician, and monitoring committee}.*).

Follow up period: 12 weeks.

Setting: 5 rural general practices in Mid Devon, UK.

Patients: 194 patients 45–80 years of age (mean age 67 y, 62% men) with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee (diagnosed by an orthopaedic surgeon, rheumatologist, or general practitioner and confirmed by radiological evidence) and scores of 8–20 on the Western Ontario and McMaster University osteoarthritis index (WOMAC A). Exclusion criteria were use of cardiac pacemakers, current use of magnetic bracelets, surgery to the index joint (except arthroscopy), haemophilia, pregnancy, and breast feeding.

Intervention: 66 patients were allocated to standard neodymium magnets set in a steel backing cup, with the open side facing the ventral wrist, creating a fluctuating magnetic pattern across the bracelet and a field strength of 170–200 mTesla. 64 patients were allocated to weak magnets (thought to be non-therapeutic) with no backing plate and a field strength of 21–30 mTesla; unfortunately, >50% of these patients inadvertently received standard strength magnets (field strength 69–196 mTesla). 64 patients were allocated to non-magnetic steel washers in similar bracelets (placebo).

Outcomes: change in lower limb pain (WOMAC A score, 5 items; and visual analogue scale), leg stiffness (WOMAC B, 2 items), and functioning (WOMAC C, 17 items).

Patient follow up: 94%.

*Information provided by author.

MAIN RESULTS

At 12 weeks, the standard magnet group had greater pain reduction (mean difference in WOMAC A scores 1.3 points, 95% CI 0.09 to 2.60) and improvement in functioning (mean difference in WOMAC C scores 4.4, CI 1.0 to 7.9) than the placebo group, but did not differ from the weak magnet group for either of these outcomes (mean difference in WOMAC A scores 0.81, CI –0.44 to 2.07, and mean difference in WOMAC C scores 3.3, CI –0.2 to 6.7). The 3 groups did

For correspondence: Dr T Harlow, Hospiscare, Exeter, UK. timharlow@eclipse.co.uk

Source of funding: Arthritis Research Campaign.

not differ for change in stiffness scores assessed by WOMAC B scores ($p = 0.48$).

CONCLUSION

Patients who wore standard magnetic bracelets reported reduced pain associated with osteoarthritis of the hip and knee at 12 weeks compared with patients who wore placebo bracelets.

Commentary

The study by Harlow *et al* contributes to the literature on use of magnets for chronic pain but fails to provide conclusive evidence about their effectiveness. Because of the widespread use of magnets, nurses need to be aware of these findings.

Despite using an innovative study design that used “weak” magnets to provide an undetectable placebo, the study by Harlow *et al* failed to fully blind study participants and failed to show an effect of undisputable importance. In terms of blinding, 54% of patients in the standard magnet group and 47% of patients in the placebo magnet group correctly guessed their magnet type. However, it is not known whether their “correct” guesses went beyond what would be expected by chance. Successful blinding has been difficult to achieve in studies involving magnets because of the easily detectable magnetic force. In this study, the use of weak magnets failed largely because of a manufacturing error, which resulted in wide variability in the strength of weak magnets, with some having field strengths in the same range as standard magnets. Thus the usefulness of this blinding technique is not known.

With respect to the estimates of effect for pain reduction and improvement in functioning, the lower boundaries of the confidence intervals (0.09 and 1.0, respectively) represent small differences between groups and indicate that the trial is non-definitive. In addition, this trial involved a sample of predominantly white people with fairly severe osteoarthritis (WOMAC A score ≥ 8) who also took painkillers 5–7 days per week. Larger studies, involving more rigour in magnet manufacturing and conducted on individuals from various ethnic backgrounds, are needed to overcome these weaknesses.

The lack of evidence on magnet effectiveness dictates that nurses should neither recommend nor discourage their use but rather should provide education to clients. In one study of 146 patients with arthritis, almost 30% used copper or magnetic bracelets.¹ Although magnets are usually promoted as being safe and inexpensive, several contradictions to use have been described, including pregnancy (because of the unknown effect on fetuses) and patients with cardiac pacemakers, defibrillators, or insulin pumps (because these devices are magnetically controlled).² Additionally, magnets sold to consumers have variable composition, strength, and prices. Nurses have an important role in promoting health literacy about the use of magnets for chronic pain.

Heather L McDonald, RN, MSc
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

1 Rao JK, Mihaliak K, Kroenke K, *et al.* Use of complementary therapies for arthritis among patients of rheumatologists. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;**131**:409–16.

2 Ratterman R, Secrest J, Norwood B, *et al.* Magnet therapy: what’s the attraction? *J Am Acad Nurse Pract* 2002;**14**:347–53.