Review: plant based oestrogens do not relieve hot flushes or other menopausal symptoms


Q Are plant based oestrogens (phyto-oestrogens) effective and safe for women with hot flushes or other menopausal symptoms?

METHODS

Data sources: Medline (1966 to March 2004), Cochrane Library, reference lists of retrieved studies and reviews, and hand searches of Menopause and Obstet Gynecol to identify abstracts of annual meetings.

Study selection and assessment: English language randomised controlled trials (RCTs) >4 weeks' duration comparing supplements or foods containing phyto-oestrogens with placebo or non-phyto-oestrogen control in perimenopausal or postmenopausal women with hot flushes or other menopausal symptoms. Methodological quality of individual studies was assessed based on allocation concealment, blinding, intention to treat analysis, and follow up.

Outcomes: frequency of hot flushes, changes in menopausal symptom scores, and adverse effects.

MAIN RESULTS

25 RCTs (n = 2348) (22 full reports and 3 abstracts) met the selection criteria. The participants' mean age was 53 years (21 RCTs), mean duration of menopause was 4 years (13 RCTs), mean daily hot flush frequency was 7 (range 3–11) (16 RCTs), and mean study duration was 17 weeks (range 4–104) (25 RCTs). Phyto-oestrogens evaluated were soy foods, beverages, or powders (11 RCTs); soy extracts in capsules or tablets (9 RCTs); and red clover extracts tested in a proprietary formula (Promensil [Novogen Ltd, Sydney, Australia]) (5 RCTs). Overall, soy foods, beverages, and powders did not reduce hot flush frequency (table). No trial showed improvement in overall symptom scores, but 2 trials showed improvements in subscale scores favouring the soy group (table). Soy extracts reduced hot flush frequency in 2 RCTs and improved menopausal symptoms in subscales of symptom scores in 4 RCTs (table). Red clover extracts reduced hot flush frequency in 2 RCTs of 30 women each (table). Meta-analysis of 5 RCTs using a random effects model showed no improvement in menopausal symptoms (weighted mean difference –0.60, 95% CI –1.71 to 0.51). 2 RCTs that included a flaxseed arm showed improvements in hot flush rate similar to those in the other groups. 2 of 12 RCTs showed more adverse effects with phyto-oestrogens than control.

CONCLUSION

Phyto-oestrogens do not relieve hot flushes or other menopausal symptoms.

Phyto-oestrogens v placebo or non-phyto-oestrogen control for hot flushes and other menopausal symptoms*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phyto-oestrogen</th>
<th>Number of RCTs (n)</th>
<th>Number of RCTs showing improvement/total number of RCTs measuring outcome</th>
<th>Hot flush frequency</th>
<th>Menopausal symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soy foods, beverages, or powders</td>
<td>11 (1094)</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy extracts</td>
<td>9 (854)</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red clover extracts</td>
<td>5 (400)</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RCTs = randomised controlled trials. Mean study duration was 17 weeks.
†Improvement in some subscales (vaginal dryness [1 RCT], hot flush severity [2 RCTs], and oestrogenic symptoms [1 RCT]).
‡Improvement in Kupperman index score (1 RCT), hot flushes and night sweats (1 RCT), 12 of 15 domains of a symptom questionnaire (1 RCT), and hot flush rate and symptom scores (no statistical comparison) (1 RCT).