Treatment

Home visits by paraprofessionals did not improve maternal and child health


QUESTION: Do home visits by paraprofessionals (lay visitors/peer support or community workers) trained in a programme model that is effective when delivered by nurses, improve maternal and child health?

Design
Randomised (allocation concealed), blinded (data collectors), controlled trial with follow up to 24 months postpartum.

Setting
21 antepartum clinics in Denver, Colorado, USA.

Participants
735 pregnant women (mean age 20 y) who had no previous live births and either qualified for Medicaid or had no health insurance. Follow up was >80% for maternal interviews at 6, 12, 21, and 24 months postpartum; and 83% for child assessment at 21 months of age.

Intervention
Participants were allocated to prenatal and postpartum (≤ 24 mo) home visitation by paraprofessionals (PHV group, n=245) or professional nurses (NHV group, n=235), or to a control group (n=255). All home visitors received intensive training. Paraprofessionals were community workers with high school education but no post-secondary education. All participants received developmental screening and referral services for their children. The objectives of the home visitation programmes delivered by both groups included helping women to improve their health related behaviours during pregnancy and helping parents to provide more competent caregiving, plan future pregnancies, continue their education, and find work.

Main outcome measures
Maternal outcomes included changes in use of psychoactive substances in pregnancy (urine biomarkers at 36 wks gestation) and subsequent pregnancies (maternal interview at 24 mo postpartum); mother-infant interactions at 12, 15, 21, and 24 months postpartum. Child outcomes included measures of emotional and language development at 6 and 21 months of age, respectively.

Main results
Interactions between children and mothers who had low psychological resources were more responsive in the PHV group than in the control group (standard score points 99.45 v 97.54, p=0.05). The PHV group and the control group did not differ for other outcomes. Women in the NHV group had greater reductions in urine cotinine concentrations (259 v 12 ng/ml, p=0.03), fewer subsequent pregnancies by 24 months after delivery of the first child (table), and more responsive interactions between children and mothers (standard score points 100 v 99, p=0.05) than did women in the control group. Fewer children in the NHV group than the control group showed emotional vulnerability in response to fear stimuli or language delays (table).

Conclusion
Maternal and child health did not improve after home visits by paraprofessionals trained in a programme model that is effective when delivered by nurses.

Commentary
A recent review of paraprofessional interventions for mothers of children 0–6 years of age showed that effectiveness varies with educational background of the paraprofessionals, the quality and intensity of their training, and ongoing supervision. In this study, Olds et al assessed whether paraprofessionals could improve maternal and child health if they received training and supportive supervision in a programme model that is effective when delivered by nurses.

For most outcomes in which nurses produced beneficial effects, the effects of paraprofessionals were approximately half the size and not statistically significant. These findings are particularly notable given that paraprofessionals were similar to the visited mothers in social characteristics, and they received extensive training and regular supervision. However, this study did not address the effectiveness of nurses and paraprofessionals in situations where both may visit a family, or programmes in which other interventions beyond home visiting are included. The findings are generalisable to socially disadvantaged mothers and programmes that use visitors without professional training.

Current programming includes widespread use of paraprofessionals in home visiting. In view of the findings of Olds et al, paraprofessionals should only be used when the objectives are to improve maternal-child interactions among mothers with low psychological resources. Because most programmes have broader objectives, continued use of paraprofessionals should be questioned. Paraprofessionals were originally introduced, in part, as less costly home visitors than nurses. However, an economic analysis is needed to determine whether improved outcomes in women visited by nurses, such as an earlier return to work and fewer subsequent pregnancies, mean that nurses are more cost effective in the long run.

Helen Thomas, RN, MSc
Associate Professor, McMaster University, Clinical Consultant, Hamilton Social, and Public Health Services Department, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada


Home visits by paraprofessionals (PHV) v or nurses (NHV) v no visitations (control) in pregnancy and early childhood*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Event rates</th>
<th>RRR (CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent pregnancy at 24 months postpartum</td>
<td>PHV v control</td>
<td>33% v 41%</td>
<td>20% (1-36)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHV v control</td>
<td>29% v 41%</td>
<td>29% (9 to 44)</td>
<td>9 (5 to 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children with emotional vulnerability at 6 months of age</td>
<td>PHV v control</td>
<td>18% v 25%</td>
<td>27% (2 to 48)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHV v control</td>
<td>16% v 25%</td>
<td>36% (8 to 55)</td>
<td>12 (7 to 58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children with language delays at 21 months of age</td>
<td>PHV v control</td>
<td>11% v 12%</td>
<td>9% (-47% to 44)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHV v control</td>
<td>6% v 12%</td>
<td>47% (6 to 71)</td>
<td>18 (10 to 160)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.