Intensive insulin treatment reduced mortality and morbidity in critically ill patients


QUESTION: In patients who are critically ill, does normalisation of blood glucose concentrations with intensive insulin treatment reduce mortality and morbidity?

Design
Randomised (allocation concealed), blinded (patients, outcome assessors), controlled trial (with mean follow up of 23 days)*.

Setting
Surgical intensive care unit (ICU) at the university hospital in Leuven, Belgium.

Patients
1548 patients (mean age 63 y, 71% men) admitted to the ICU who were receiving mechanical ventilation. Patients were excluded if they were participating in other trials, were moribund, or had “do not resuscitate” orders. Follow up was 100%.

Intervention
Patients were assigned to receive intensive (n=765) or conventional (n=783) insulin treatment. Intensive treatment was insulin infusion that was started if the blood glucose concentration was >6.1 mmol/l and was adjusted to maintain a blood glucose concentration of 4.4–6.1 mmol/l (maximum insulin dose 50 IU/h). Conventional treatment was continuous insulin infusion by a pump that was started if the blood glucose concentration was >11.9 mmol/l, and was adjusted to maintain a blood glucose concentration between 10.0 and 11.1 mmol/l. All patients were fed continuous intravenous glucose upon ICU admission. Total parenteral,Venous glucose upon ICU admission. Total parenteral, combined parenteral and enteral, or total enteral feeding was started the next day. Total enteral feeding was attempted as early as possible.

Main outcome measures
The primary outcome measure was all cause death in the ICU. Secondary outcome measures included in hospital death, length of ICU stay, need for ICU care or ventilatory support >14 days, and various complications of the critical illness.

Main results
Analysis by intention to treat. Intensive insulin treatment had reduced rates of all cause ICU deaths among all patients (p<0.04) and among those who were in the ICU >5 days (p=0.005); in hospital deaths (p=0.01); ICU care >14 days (p=0.01); ventilatory support >14 days (p=0.003); renal failure requiring dialysis or haemofiltration (p=0.007); bloodstream infections in the ICU (p=0.005); and critical illness polyneuropathy (p<0.001) (table). The groups did not differ for length of ICU stay (median 3 d in both groups, p=0.2).

Conclusion
In patients who were critically ill, normalisation of blood glucose concentrations with intensive insulin treatment reduced mortality and morbidity. *Information provided by author.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes at mean follow up of 23 days</th>
<th>Intensive</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All ICU deaths</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>43% (15 to 62)</td>
<td>29 (17 to 94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All in hospital deaths</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>34% (9 to 52)</td>
<td>27 (15 to 122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU stay &gt;14 days</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>28% (7 to 44)</td>
<td>23 (13 to 108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilatory support &gt;14 days</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>37% (14 to 54)</td>
<td>23 (14 to 67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure requiring dialysis or haemofiltration</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>41% (13 to 60)</td>
<td>30 (17 to 112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodstream infection</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>46% (19 to 65)</td>
<td>28 (17 to 79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical illness polyneuropathy</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>45% (28 to 59)</td>
<td>4 (3 to 8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†ICU = intensive care unit. All abbreviations defined in glossary. RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.

COMMENTS

Hyperglycaemia is a frequent complication of critical illness, even in those who have not previously had diabetes. It is common practice to start insulin treatment after blood glucose concentrations reach about twice the normal value. However, this methodology is strongly supported by Van den Berghe et al who showed that for the treatment of even mild hyperglycaemia, by using a simple and inexpensive insulin treatment aimed at strict maintenance of normal blood glucose values, mortality was reduced by more than 40% among ICU patients. According to the number needed to treat (NNT) in the table, this means that 29 ICU patients would need to be given intensive insulin treatment in order to prevent 1 additional death in the ICU.

Strengths of this study include minimisation of bias through randomisation of patients to the 2 groups, blinding of outcome assessors, and adjustment of the insulin dose by a team of nurses and a physician who were not participating in clinical decisions.

Nurses will need to monitor patients closely for signs of hypoglycaemia and make decisions about the need to seek medical intervention. This study provides important evidence that supports a change in practice towards earlier and more aggressive treatment. Although there are likely to be substantial cost savings associated with reductions in length of ICU stay, ventilation days, dialysis, bloodstream infections, and critical illness polyneuropathy, increased resources will be required to implement intensive insulin treatment. Prior planning to ensure an adequate supply of infusion pumps, glucose monitors, and nursing staff to perform the additional monitoring and lab tests will be required. Point of care blood glucose analysis will be an advantage.
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