Patients, family members, and providers identified 6 components of a “good death”


QUESTION: What are the attributes of a “good death” as understood by patients, families, and providers involved in end of life care?

Design
Grounded theory.

Setting
Durham, North Carolina, USA.

Participants
75 participants (age range 26–77 y, 64% women, 70% white, 61% Protestant) were recruited from a university medical centre, a Veterans Affairs medical centre, and a community hospice. Participants included 27 nurses, 10 social workers, 8 hospice volunteers, 6 chaplains, 6 physicians, 14 patients, and 4 bereaved family members.

Methods
12 focus groups of 6–8 participants, stratified by role and race, were held over a 4 month period until data saturation occurred. Participants were asked to discuss their experiences of the deaths of family members, friends, or patients and to reflect on what made those deaths good or bad. After analysing focus group transcripts, 2 members from each group (the most and least talkative) were interviewed; no new themes emerged. Focus groups and interviews were audiorecorded, transcribed, and analysed using the constant comparative method.

Main findings
Participants identified 6 components of a good death. The first, pain and symptom management, reflected fears of dying in pain and concerns about control of both current and future pain. Patient anxiety about breakthrough pain or extreme shortness of breath was alleviated with reassurance (eg, morphine drip will be provided). The second component, clear decision making, referred to the reduction of fear of pain and inadequate symptom management through communication and clear decision making. Patients felt empowered when they participated in treatment decisions. Bad deaths were often described in terms of patient feelings of being disregarded, family concerns about suffering, and provider feelings of being out of control and not able to provide good care. Often, decisions had not been discussed previously and were made in crisis situations. The third component, preparation for death, reflected the need for better preparation for the end of life. Patients wanted to know what to expect during the course of their illness and wanted to plan for events that would occur after their death (eg, planning funeral). Family members wanted to know about the physical and psychosocial changes involved in dying. Preparation of care providers was usually of a personal nature and occurred outside of formal training. The fourth component, completion, involved faith issues, life review, resolving conflicts, spending time with family and friends, and saying goodbye. Issues of faith, although highly individual, were often described as integral to healing at the end of life and became more important as physical decline occurred. Contributing to others, the fifth component, referred to the importance of allowing patients with terminal illness to contribute to the wellbeing of others, in the form of gifts, time, or knowledge. The sixth component, affirmation of the whole person, was about the importance of seeing the patient as a unique and whole person. Family members appreciated providers who did not see patients as “diseases,” but understood them in the context of their lives, values, and preferences.

Conclusion
Patients, family members, and providers identified 6 common components of a good death: pain and symptom management, clear decision making, preparation for death, completion, contributing to others, and affirmation of the whole person.