Urge urinary incontinence was associated with increased risk of falls and non-spinal, non-traumatic fractures in older women


QUESTION: In community dwelling older white women, does weekly or more frequent urge and stress urinary incontinence increase risk of falls and non-spinal, non-traumatic fractures?

Design
Cohort study with mean follow up of 3 years (Study of Osteoporotic Fractures [SOF]).

Setting
4 clinical care centres in Maryland, Minnesota, Oregon, and Pennsylvania, USA.

Participants
6049 community dwelling, ambulatory, white women who were ≥65 years of age (mean age 79 y), attended 5 SOF clinic or home visits, completed a physical examination and self administered questionnaire, provided data on urinary incontinence, and returned ≥1 postcard reporting falls after visit 5. Institutionalised women were excluded.

Assessment of risk factors
Live births; hysterectomy status; smoking status; alcohol use; walking; total weekly excursions outside of the home; medical history including hip or knee replacement, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, Parkinson’s or Alzheimer’s disease, or arthritis; self reported joint pain; falls within the past year; functional status; medication use; and cognitive function. Frequency and type (urge, stress, or mixed) of urinary incontinence were assessed at the fifth clinic visit.

Main outcome measures
Reported falls and non-spinal, non-traumatic fractures (fractures were confirmed by radiographs).

Main results
25% of women reported ≥1 weekly episode of urge incontinence and 19% reported ≥1 weekly episode of stress incontinence; 12% reported both types of incontinence. Women with no incontinence or less than weekly incontinence were the control group. 55% of women reported ≥1 fall during the mean 3 years of follow up and 8.5% reported ≥1 fracture. After adjusting for age and other potential confounding factors, urge urinary incontinence was associated with falls (p < 0.001) and fractures (p = 0.02), whereas stress incontinence was not (p ≥0.3)

Risk of falls and non-spinal, non-traumatic fractures in women with ≥ weekly urinary incontinence at a mean follow up of 3 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Type of Incontinence</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Relative hazard (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Urge</td>
<td>1.26 (1.14 to 1.40)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.95 to 1.19)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>1.06 (0.95 to 1.19)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractures</td>
<td>Urge</td>
<td>1.34 (1.06 to 1.69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>0.98 (0.75 to 1.28)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not significant.

A dose response relation existed for urge incontinence: a 35% increase in the risk of falling existed with daily urge incontinence compared with a 21% increase in risk with weekly urge incontinence.

Conclusion
In community dwelling older white women, weekly or more frequent urge but not stress urinary incontinence increased the risk of falls and non-spinal, non-traumatic fractures.

COMMENTARY

The study by Brown et al helps to untangle the controversy about urinary incontinence as a risk factor for falls. They found that weekly or more frequent urge incontinence independently increased the risk of falls by 26% and the risk of fractures by 34%. In an accompanying editorial, Wolf et al elaborate a potential causal hypothesis explaining the results.1 Older adults have a reduced capacity to divide their attention. Thus, an elderly person who is focused on the urgent need to use the toilet may become inattentive to environmental hazards or postural control, increasing their risk of falling.

Consistent with previous research, the authors included only those fractures that occurred as a result of minimum trauma. The authors argue convincingly that there was probably no differential bias in reporting of falls or fractures in the 2 comparison groups. However, the authors do not distinguish between those falls that resulted in a fracture and spontaneous fractures which, in turn, contributed to a fall. This distinction is especially important in studies of osteoporotic women. The use of a severity scale to assess other injuries resulting from falls would be a useful adjunct. Future research should examine more fully the relation between the concurrent use of psychotropic drugs and diuretics, the presence of environmental hazards, and the timing and location of fall events. The inclusion of non-osteoporotic women and men in future research should be considered.

Important clinical implications arise from this study. Findings support (1) the inclusion of questions on fall risk assessment protocols to differentiate between stress and urge urinary incontinence; (2) among women with urge incontinence, a periodic review of benzodiazepine use, and working with clients and physicians to identify non-pharmacological alternatives for insomnia; and (3) the use of environmental hazard checklists during clinic or home visits to identify hazards that are related to toileting practices (eg, lack of toilet grab bars in the bathroom or obstructed and unlit routes to the toilet).

Nancy Edwards, RN, PhD
Associate Professor, School of Nursing
University of Ottawa
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada