

# Review: graduated compression stockings prevent deep venous thrombosis in patients who are in hospital

Amarigiri SV, Lees TA. *Elastic compression stockings for prevention of deep vein thrombosis*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;(3):CD001484 (latest version 8 Nov 1999).

**QUESTION:** Are graduated compression stockings effective and safe for preventing deep venous thrombosis (DVT) in hospital patients?

## Data sources

Randomised controlled trials were identified by the Cochrane Review Group on Peripheral Vascular Diseases, who searched EMBASE/Excerpta Medica and Medline and handsearched relevant medical journals and *Index Medicus*. Manufacturers were also contacted.

## Study selection

Trials were selected if stockings for the prevention of DVT were studied in any hospital patients, stockings were compared with no prophylaxis or another method of prophylaxis, and outcomes of DVT, pulmonary embolism (PE), or complications of stocking use were measured. DVT had to be identified by ultrasound, venogram, or isotope studies, and PE had to be identified by ventilation perfusion lung scan, pulmonary angiogram, or postmortem examination.

## Data extraction

Data were extracted on study quality; patient age, sex, and risk category for DVT; type and length of stocking and duration of application; and incidence of DVT, PE, and complications and how each was assessed. Studies were divided into 2 groups: group 1 studies compared stockings in the intervention group with no stockings or other prophylaxis modalities in the control group and group 2 studies compared stockings and another prophylaxis modality in the intervention group with prophylaxis alone in the control group.

## Main results

9 group 1 studies (1205 patients) and 7 group 2 studies (1006 patients) were included. All patients were considered to be at moderate or high risk for DVT. Almost all patients were adults and most were >35 years old. All studies evaluated DVT; the data on PE were not reported. Stockings were applied on the day of admission or day of surgery and kept on until discharge or mobilisation. Stockings alone had a lower rate of DVT than no stockings or other prophylaxis (table). Stockings plus an alternative prophylaxis modality also had a lower rate of DVT than the alternative prophylaxis modality alone (table). No data were provided on complications of stocking use.

*Sources of funding:*  
Department of Health,  
The Scottish Office UK;  
Royal College of  
Physicians, Edinburgh,  
UK.

*For correspondence:*  
Mr T A Lees, Freeman  
Hospital, Northern  
Vascular Centre, High  
Heaton, Freeman Road,  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
NE7 7DN, UK. Fax +44  
(0)191 223 1225.

*Graduated compression stockings for the prevention of deep venous thrombosis in hospital patients\**

Comparison	Weighted event rates	RRR (95% CI)	NNT (CI)
Stockings v no other prophylaxis	12.3% v 26.5%	57% (35 to 71)	8 (5 to 14)
Stockings plus prophylaxis v prophylaxis alone	4.9% v 14.7%	71% (53 to 82)	11 (8 to 16)

\*Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.

## Conclusion

Graduated compression stockings, alone or used in conjunction with other prophylaxis modalities, prevent deep venous thrombosis in hospital patients.

## COMMENTARY

Hospital patients are at increased risk of DVT, and up to 1% of all hospital deaths are a result of its acute complication, PE.<sup>1</sup> Patients who have had DVT have considerable long term morbidity (eg, post-thrombotic syndrome, which is associated with the development of venous leg ulcers). Prevention of DVT is now receiving considerable attention through consensus statements and national guidelines.<sup>2</sup> These advocate the use of graduated compression stockings alone for prevention of DVT in people at moderate risk and with pharmacological methods in people at high risk.<sup>2</sup>

The meta-analysis by Amarigiri and Lees suggests that graduated compression stockings alone and in combination with other forms of prophylaxis effectively prevent DVT in moderate and high risk hospital patients (grading of risk was done by the reviewers). As such, the findings of this review are entirely in line with current guidelines.<sup>2</sup> The authors highlight the need for further study of at risk medical patients as only 1 study in the review included this group. Further research is also needed on the ideal length of stockings. High length stockings are reported to be more expensive, more difficult to put on, and less well tolerated than knee length; however, thigh length stockings were used in most of the studies.<sup>3</sup>

None of the studies addressed the complications associated with stockings. Damage to the legs, such as necrosis and even amputation, has been reported in patients with peripheral vascular disease and with diabetic neuropathy.<sup>3</sup> Peripheral vascular disease can be excluded if the ankle:brachial pressure index  $\geq 0.9$ .<sup>4</sup>

This review will be useful to nurses involved in the perioperative care of patients, including preoperative information giving, during which the benefits of wearing compression stockings around the time of surgery can be fully explained.

Susan Campbell, RN, MSc  
Research Fellow, University of Aberdeen  
Aberdeen, UK

- Sandler DA, Martin JF. Autopsy proven pulmonary embolism in hospital patients: are we detecting enough deep vein thrombosis? *J R Soc Med* 1989;**82**:203-5.
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. *Prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism*. SIGN guidelines. Edinburgh: SIGN, 1995.
- Agu O, Hamilton G, Baker D. Graduated compression stockings in the prevention of venous thromboembolism. *Br J Surg* 1999;**86**:992-1004.
- Fowkes FG, Housley E, Macintyre CC, et al. Variability of ankle and brachial systolic pressures in the measurement of atherosclerotic peripheral arterial disease. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1988;**42**:128-33.