Review: counselling and education reduce cardiovascular risk factors but not mortality


QUESTION: In people without evidence of established cardiovascular disease, do counselling or educational interventions reduce cardiovascular risk factors, deaths from all causes, and deaths from coronary artery disease (CAD)?

Data sources
Studies were identified using Medline (1966 to April 1995), bibliographies of relevant studies, and by contacting experts.

Study selection
Studies were selected if they were randomised controlled trials with ≥ 6 months of follow up of counselling or educational interventions with or without medications aimed at controlling ≥ 1 cardiovascular risk factor (blood pressure, smoking, total blood cholesterol, physical activity, and diet) in people ≥ 40 years of age without evidence of cardiovascular disease.

Data extraction
Data were extracted independently by 2 reviewers on methodological quality of the trials, patient baseline characteristics, and follow up. Outcomes were changes in blood pressure and total blood cholesterol, smoking, deaths from all causes, and deaths from CAD.

Main results
18 trials (140 245 patients) were included. Heterogeneity existed among the trials for all outcomes except CAD mortality. One reason for heterogeneity was the inclusion of trials that studied only hypertensive patients. Blood pressure, total blood cholesterol, and smoking prevalence were reduced in patients who received risk factor modification compared with patients who received no intervention or usual care (table). 10 trials included clinical outcomes. Deaths from all causes or from CAD were not reduced by risk factor modification (table).

Conclusion
In people without evidence of established cardiovascular disease, multiple risk factor interventions consisting of counselling or educational interventions show modest reductions in blood pressure, blood cholesterol, and smoking prevalence, but not deaths from all causes or from coronary artery disease.

Risk factor intervention (Int) v no intervention for coronary artery disease (CAD)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes (follow up range 6 mo to 12 y)</th>
<th>Weighted mean decrease (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.9 to 4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.3 to 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total blood cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.09 to 0.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RRR (CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Int</td>
<td>No int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking prevalence</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cause mortality</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD mortality</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Random effects model used for all outcomes except CAD mortality. Abbreviations defined in glossary; NNT calculated from data in article.

Table: Risk factor intervention (Int) v no intervention for coronary artery disease (CAD)*

Commentary
The review by Ebrahim and Davey Smith will be of particular interest to nurses working in primary or community care settings and in health promotion or public health. The review is likely to have identified and included all the relevant, good quality studies. The results indicate that multiple risk factor interventions with individuals and families produce only modest (and probably then overstated) benefits for the general population. However, such strategies may have an important benefit in terms of risk reduction for the subgroup of people at high risk of or with pre-existing CAD. Nurses in primary practice settings should therefore target their CAD prevention work to those people who are already at high risk, paying particular attention to smoking cessation, while taking into account the likely differing risk profile of men and women. The "prevention paradox" implies that we should not expect too much from individual health education. Efforts to reduce CAD in the wider population should focus on fiscal and legislative change and community development that aims to improve the environmental context.
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