Group plus individual education improved quality of life and lung function in patients with asthma


Question
Does an education programme improve health related quality of life and lung function in patients with mild to moderate asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)?

Design
Randomised, single blind (blinded assessment of spirometry results), controlled trial with follow up at 12 months.

Setting
An outpatient chest clinic at a hospital in Norway.

Patients
140 consecutive patients (mean age 49 y, 61% women) with bronchial asthma or COPD who were 18–70 years of age and did not have unstable ischaemic heart disease, heart failure, serious hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or kidney or liver failure. Patients with asthma had to have ≥80% of predicted forced expiratory volume at 1 second (FEV1) in stable phase and 1 of a positive reversibility test, documented 20% spontaneous variability (peak expiratory flow and FEV1), or a positive metacholine test. Patients with COPD had to have ≥40% and <80% of predicted FEV1. Follow up was 89%.

Intervention
39 patients with asthma and 31 patients with COPD were allocated to receive the intervention; 39 patients with asthma and 31 patients with COPD were allocated to the control group. Separate sessions were held for patients with asthma and those with COPD. The intervention consisted of 2 hour group sessions and 1–2 40 minute individual sessions with a nurse and 1–2 40 minute individual sessions with a physiotherapist. Group session 1 was led by a physician, who discussed self care, basic physiology, and prevention of attacks; group session 2 was led by a pharmacist who addressed asthma drugs; in the individual sessions, a nurse focused on self care and a physiotherapist addressed topics such as respiration during exacerbations, pursed lip breathing, rest positions, and relaxation exercises. These sessions focused on establishing a partnership with the patient and repeating the essentials of the group sessions with a more individualised approach; individualised care plans were given to patients at the final session. Patients in the control group received usual care from their general practitioner.

Main outcome measures
Health related quality of life as assessed by the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) (76 items, weighted to produce component scores for symptoms, activity, and impact, and a total score of overall respiratory health) and FEV1, assessed using spirometry.

Main results
At 1 year, patients with asthma who received the intervention had better health related quality of life (mean 16.3 unit difference in SGRQ scores, p < 0.001) and a higher mean FEV1 (increase of 3.4% v decrease of 2.7%, p < 0.05) than patients in the control group. For patients with COPD, the intervention and control groups did not differ for health related quality of life or FEV1.

Conclusion
An education programme that included both group and individual sessions improved health related quality of life and lung function in patients with mild to moderate asthma but not in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Source of funding: Norwegian Medical Association.
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Commentary
This study by Gallefoss et al supports the shift in thinking about the provision of patient education from a compliance model to one of self management for patients with chronic conditions, specifically asthma. Previous research has shown that self management education is effective in adults with asthma and other chronic conditions, such as arthritis.

The lack of significant improvement in quality of life or lung function in patients with COPD is a quandary. Could the lack of effect be explained by the small sample size, or does the permanent nature of this disease affect its responsiveness to prevention and treatment strategies? Because the authors did not describe the extent of patient involvement in the design of the programme, it is unclear whether the education programme met the needs of patients with COPD.

The major message of this study is that self management can promote positive health outcomes. We need to determine how it can work with specific patient populations. For example, a combination of education and rehabilitation strategies may be more effective for patients with COPD. The results are relevant to interdisciplinary teams caring for patients with asthma and other chronic conditions and their families. This study emphasises that education is more than just information about disease and treatment. The development of a partnership between clinician and patient to individualise the information provided in the group sessions is key to helping patients to become self managers.

This provides nurses with the opportunity to help patients to learn and practice skills for dealing with their illness, daily activities, and the changing emotions brought about by chronic illness.
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