Review: parental tobacco smoke increases the risk of asthma and respiratory symptoms in school age children


Question
In school age children, is exposure to parental (environmental) tobacco smoke associated with an increased risk of asthma and respiratory symptoms?

Data sources
Studies were identified with Medline and Embase searched to April 1997 using the terms tobacco smoke pollution; passive, second hand, involuntary; parent, maternal, mother, paternal, father or household; and smoke— with various endings, tobacco or cigarette. Bibliographies of relevant studies were also reviewed.

Study selection
Studies were selected if they evaluated school age children (5–16 y) and both exposure to parental tobacco smoke and respiratory symptoms or asthma were assessed.

Data extraction
Data were extracted on year of publication, country of study, population characteristics including age, symptoms (asthma, wheeze, chronic cough, chronic phlegm, and breathlessness), symptom definitions, exposures (1 parent, both parents, and mother or father only), and individual study adjustment factors. Most studies collected data using parent completed questionnaires.

Main results
60 studies were included in the analysis: 25 studied asthma, 41 studied wheeze, 7 studied chronic cough, 60 studies were included in the analysis: 25 studied asthma, 41 studied wheeze, 7 studied chronic cough, 6 studied breathlessness; 30 studies measured chronic cough in school age children. Meta-analysis showed that tobacco smoking by either or both parents increases the risk of asthma, wheeze, and chronic cough in school age children (table). Chronic phlegm is increased if either parent smokes (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.13 to 1.62) or both parents smoke (OR 1.46, CI 1.04 to 2.05) and breathlessness is increased if either parent smokes (OR 1.31, CI 1.08 to 1.59). Phlegm and breathlessness were not assessed for other parental smoking categories because of lack of data. The risks of all outcomes were higher when both parents smoked than if only 1 parent smoked. The findings were similar when analysed with or without studies that had adjusted analysis for confounders.

Pooled odds ratios (ORs) for association between parental tobacco smoke exposure and asthma, wheeze, and chronic cough in school aged children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking status</th>
<th>Asthma OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Wheeze OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Cough OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 or both parents</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.10 to 1.34</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.17 to 1.31</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.27 to 1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 parent</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.78 to 1.58</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.08 to 1.29</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.11 to 1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.29 to 1.57</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.34 to 1.60</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.48 to 1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother only</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.20 to 1.55</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.19 to 1.38</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.20 to 1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father only</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.92 to 1.24</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.06 to 1.25</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.09 to 1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not significant.
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Commentary
This systematic review by Cook and Strachan is part of a series of reviews about the adverse health effects of the exposure of adults and children to environmental tobacco smoke. The meta-analysis confirms that school age children of parents who smoke are at greater risk of developing asthma and other respiratory symptoms. Unlike previous reviews, the authors examined level of exposure and reported a clear dose response where prevalence of all symptoms increased if both parents smoked.

This review provides a valuable summary of the published evidence, although the importance of widening searches to include hand searches of key health journals and “grey” literature databases should not be overlooked. The authors acknowledged the potential publication bias and compared the results with a unpublished report of a multicentre European study. An additional strength of the review was that after controlling for confounders, the strong association between smoking and adverse health events was still evident.

Environmental tobacco smoke pollution is increasingly being addressed by public policy, health promotion campaigns, and restrictive interventions such as those within the workplace. To date, however, few campaigns have highlighted the vulnerability of children to the adverse effects of passive smoking. The public health message for all healthcare professionals, particularly those in health promotion and primary care, is to assist parents in smoking reduction and cessation and to highlight the risk of illness and vulnerability of their children. Promotion of smoke free zones or periods in the home will help reduce health risks from environmental tobacco smoke.
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