**Invitation to submit letters and papers on implementation**

Welcome to the first issue of *Evidence-Based Nursing*. This new journal will provide practising nurses with the best research evidence along with advice from clinical experts regarding how this evidence, combined with patient preferences, can be applied to practice. We hope that *Evidence-Based Nursing* will make an important contribution to nursing, and ultimately to patient care, by bringing the findings of rigorous research to the attention of nurses, by promoting the critical appraisal of research, and by fostering implementation.

An important step in the practice of evidence-based nursing is the sharing of successful implementation strategies. We therefore welcome submission of manuscripts describing the process and results of the implementation of an evidence-based nursing intervention. Manuscripts should be no longer than 1500 words, including references. All manuscripts will be peer reviewed and submission does not guarantee publication.

We also welcome letters from our readers about *Evidence-Based Nursing*. We would like to hear about the positive and negative aspects of our journal. Your feedback is most important in assisting us to produce a high quality journal which is useful to the practising nurse. Letters should be no longer than 400 words.

All submitted material should be typewritten, double spaced, and mailed or faxed to Nicky Cullum in the UK editorial office (see inside cover for details).

---

**EBN NOTEBOOK**

**Nursing, research, and the evidence**

Why has research-based practice become so important and why is everyone talking about evidence-based health care? But most importantly, how is nursing best placed to maximise the benefits which evidence-based care can bring?

Research has been used to legitimise nursing as a profession, education has been radically reformed to reflect a research base, and academic nurses have built their careers around it. However, despite the length of time that research has been on the agenda and the influential bodies involved, only a moderate proportion of nurses use research as a basis for practice. What has gone wrong?

Part of the difficulty is that although nurses perceive research positively, they either cannot access the information, or cannot judge the value of the studies which they find. This journal has evolved as a direct response to the dilemma of practitioners who want to use research, but are thwarted by overwhelming clinical demands, an ever burgeoning research literature, and for many, a lack of skills in critical appraisal. *Evidence-Based Nursing* should therefore be exceptionally useful, and its target audience of practitioners is a refreshing move in the right direction. The worlds of researchers and practitioners have been separated by seemingly impenetrable barriers for too long.

Tiptoeing in the wake of the movement for evidence-based medicine, however, we must ensure that evidence-based nursing attends to what is important for nursing. Part of the difficulty that practitioners face relates to the ambiguity which research, and particularly “scientific” research, has within nursing. Ambiguous, because we need to be clear as to what nursing is, and what nurses do before we can identify the types of evidence needed to improve the effectiveness of patient care. Then we can explore the type of questions which practitioners need answers to and what sort of research might best provide those answers.

**What is nursing about?**

Increasingly, medicine and nursing are beginning to overlap. There is much talk of interprofessional training and multidisciplinary working, and nurses have been encouraged to adopt as their own some tasks traditionally undertaken by doctors. However, in their operation, practice, and culture, nursing and medicine remain quite different. The oft quoted suggestion is that doctors “cure” or “treat” and that nurses “care”, but this is not upheld by research. In a study of professional boundaries, the management of complex wounds was perceived by nurses as firmly within their domain. Nurses justified their claim to “control” wound treatment by reference to scientific knowledge and practical experience, just as medicine justifies its claim in other areas of treatment. One of the most obvious distinctions between the professions in this study was the contrast between the continual presence of the nurse as opposed to the periodic appearance of the doctor. Lawler raises the same point, and suggests that nurses and patients are “captive” together.

Questioning the relevance of scientific knowledge, she argues that nurses and patients are “focused on more immediate concerns and on ways in which experiences can be endured and transcended”. This highlights the particular contribution of nursing, for it is not merely concerned with the body, but is also in an “intimate” and ongoing relationship with the person within the body. Thus nursing becomes concerned with “untidy” things such as emotions and feelings, which traditional natural and social sciences have difficulty accommodating. “It is about the interface between the biological
and the social, as people reconcile the lived body with the object body in the experience of illness.”

**What sort of evidence does nursing need?**

These arguments suggest that nursing, through its particular relationship with patients and their sick or well bodies, will rely on many different ways of knowing and many different kinds of knowledge. Lawler’s work on how the body is managed by nurses illustrates this. She explains how an understanding of the physiological body is essential, but that this must be complemented by evidence from the social sciences because “we also practice with living, breathing, speaking humans.” Moreover, this must be grounded in experiential knowledge gained from being a nurse, and doing nursing. Knowledge, or evidence, for practice thus comes to us from a variety of disciplines, from particular paradigms or ways of “looking at” the world, and from our own professional and non-professional life experiences.

**Picking the research design to fit the question**

Scientists believe that the social world, just like the physical world, is orderly and rational, and thus it is possible to determine universal laws which can predict outcome. They propose the idea of an objective reality independent of the researcher, which can be measured quantitatively, and they are concerned with minimising bias. The other major paradigm is interpretism/naturalism which takes another approach, suggesting that a measurable and objective reality separate from the researcher does not exist; the researcher cannot therefore be separated from the “researched”. Thus who we are, what we are, and where we are will affect the sorts of questions we pose, and the way we collect and interpret data. Furthermore, in this paradigm, social life is not thought to be orderly and rational, knowledge of the world is relative and will change with time and place. Interpretism/naturalism is concerned with understanding situations and with studying things as they are. Research approaches in this paradigm try to capture the whole picture, rather than a small part of it.

This way of approaching research is very useful, especially to a discipline concerned with trying to understand the predicaments of patients and their relatives, who find themselves ill, recovering, or facing a lifetime of chronic illness or death. Questions which arise in these areas are less concerned with causation, treatment effectiveness, and economics and more with the meaning which situations have—why has this happened to me? What is my life going to be like from now on? The focus of these questions is on the process, not the outcome. Data about such issues are best obtained by interviews or participant observation. These are aspects of nursing which are less easily measured and quantified. Moreover, some aspects of nursing cannot even be formalised within the written word because they are perceived, or experienced, in an embodied way. For example, how do you record aspects of care such as trust, empathy, or “being there”? Can such aspects be captured within the confines of research as we know it?

Questions of causation, prognosis, and effectiveness are best answered using scientific methods. For example, rates of infection and thrombophlebitis are issues which concern nurses looking after intravenous cannulas. Therefore, nurses might want access to a randomised controlled trial of various ways in which cannula sites are cleansed and dressed to determine if this affects infection rates. Similarly, some very clear economic and organisational questions might be posed by nurses working in day surgery units. Is day surgery cost effective? What are the rates of early readmission to hospital? Other questions could include: what was it like for patients who had day surgery? Did nurses find this was a satisfying way to work? These would be better answered using interpretivist approaches which focus on the meaning that different situations have for people. Nurses working with patients with senile dementia might also use this approach for questions such as how to keep these patients safe and yet ensure their right to freedom, or what it is like to live with a relative with senile dementia. Thus different questions require different research designs. No single design has precedence over another, rather the design chosen must fit the particular research question.

**Research designs useful to nursing**

Nursing presents a vast range of questions which straddle both the major paradigms, and it has therefore embraced an eclectic range of research designs and begun to explore the value of critical approaches and feminist methods in its research. The current nursing literature contains a wide spectrum of research designs exemplified in this issue, ranging from randomised controlled trials, at the scientific end of the spectrum, through to grounded theory, ethnography, and phenomenology at the interpretivist/naturalistic end. Future issues of this journal will explore these designs in depth.

**Maximising the potential of evidence-based nursing**

Evidence-based care concerns the incorporation of evidence from research, clinical expertise, and patient preferences into decisions about the health care of individual patients. Most professionals seek to ensure that their care is effective, compassionate, and meets the needs of their patients. Therefore sound research evidence which tells us what does and does not work, and with whom and where it works best, is good news. Maximum use must be made of scientific and economic evidence, and the products of initiatives such as the Cochrane Collaboration. However, nurses and consumers of health care clearly need other evidence, arising from questions which cannot be framed in scientific or economic terms. Nursing could spark some insightful debate concerning the nature and contribution of other types of knowledge, such as clinical intuition, which are so important to practitioners.

In summary, in embracing evidence-based nursing we must heed these considerations:

- Nursing must discard its suspicion of scientific, quantitative evidence, gather the skills to critique it, and design imaginative trials which will assist in improving many aspects of nursing.
- We must promulgate naturalistic/interpretivist studies by indicating their usefulness and confirming/explaining their rigour in investigating the social world of health care.
- More research is needed into the reality and consequences of adopting evidence-based practice. Can practitioners act on the evidence, or are they being made responsible for activities beyond their control?
- It must be emphasised that those concerns which are easily measured or articulated are not the only ones of importance in health care. Space is needed to recognise and explore the knowledge which comes from doing nursing and reflecting on it, to find new channels for speaking of concepts which are not easily accommodated within the discourse of social or natural science—hope, despair, misery, love.
Evidence-Based Nursing -
http://www.evidencebasednursing.com

Visitors to the world wide web can now access Evidence-Based Nursing either through the BMJ Publishing Group’s home page (http://www.bmj.com) or directly by using its individual URL (http://www.evidencebasednursing.com). There they will find the following:

- Current contents list for the journal
- Contents lists of previous issues
- Details of the editorial team
- Information for subscribers

A hotlink gives access to:

- BMJ Publishing Group home page
- British Medical Association web site
- Online books catalogue
- BMJ Publishing Group books

The web site is at a preliminary stage and there are plans to develop it into a more sophisticated site. Suggestions from visitors about features they would like to see are welcomed. They can be left via the opening page of the BMJ Publishing Group site or, alternatively, via the journal page, through “about this site”.

ANNE MULHALL, MSc, PhD
Independent Training and Research Consultant
West Cottage, Hook Hill Lane
Woking, Surrey GU22 0PT, UK

Evidence-Based Nursing January 1998 Vol 1 No 1


Evidence-Based Nursing -
http://www.evidencebasednursing.com

Visitors to the world wide web can now access Evidence-Based Nursing either through the BMJ Publishing Group’s home page (http://www.bmj.com) or directly by using its individual URL (http://www.evidencebasednursing.com). There they will find the following:

- Current contents list for the journal
- Contents lists of previous issues
- Details of the editorial team
- Information for subscribers

A hotlink gives access to:

- BMJ Publishing Group home page
- British Medical Association web site
- Online books catalogue
- BMJ Publishing Group books

The web site is at a preliminary stage and there are plans to develop it into a more sophisticated site. Suggestions from visitors about features they would like to see are welcomed. They can be left via the opening page of the BMJ Publishing Group site or, alternatively, via the journal page, through “about this site”.

ANNE MULHALL, MSc, PhD
Independent Training and Research Consultant
West Cottage, Hook Hill Lane
Woking, Surrey GU22 0PT, UK