Inpatient intervention with intensive contact after discharge improved smoking cessation rates


Objective
To compare an inpatient, multicomponent, smoking cessation intervention involving intensive or minimal telephone contact after discharge, with usual care.

Design
Randomised controlled trial with 12 month follow up.

Setting
4 community based hospitals in the USA.

Patients
2024 patients admitted to hospital who reported using tobacco products during the previous month. Exclusion criteria were admission to obstetrical or psychiatric wards, expected hospital stay < 36 hours, inability to read or write English, plans to relocate, impaired consciousness, diagnosed alcohol or drug abuse, involvement in a myocardial infarction rehabilitation programme, or desire to quit on their own or not at all. 82 patients died during the course of the study. 1942 patients (mean age 51 y, 68% white, 51% men) were included in the final analysis.

Intervention
Patients were allocated to intensive intervention (n = 561), minimal intervention (n = 473), or usual care (n = 990). All groups received standardised physician advice. Both intervention groups received a 30 minute, behavioural counselling session given by trained nurses at the bedside, an American Heart Association videotape, and an audiotape of relaxation exercises. Patients who met criteria for nicotine dependence were offered nicotine replacement therapy (NRT). The minimal group received a 10 minute telephone call 48 hours after discharge.

The intensive group received 4 telephone calls within 90 days after discharge, and those who relapsed were offered additional counselling. While in hospital, the usual care group received printed materials and a list of partially subsidised outpatient cessation programmes.

Main results
Patients in the intensive group had higher cessation rates than those receiving usual care (27% v 20%, p = 0.009). Cessation rates were similar for the intensive group compared with the minimal group (27% v 22%, p = 0.08), and the minimal group compared with usual care (22% v 20%, p = 0.5) (table).

Conclusion
An inpatient, multicomponent intervention involving intensive telephone contact after discharge was more effective than usual care for smoking cessation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome at 12 months</th>
<th>Intensive</th>
<th>Usual care</th>
<th>RBI</th>
<th>ABI</th>
<th>NNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>smoking cessation</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(9 to 59)</td>
<td>(9 to 59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations in table defined in glossary; RBI, ABI, NNT, and CI are calculated from data in article.
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Commentary
This study by Miller et al is a sound attempt to evaluate a smoking cessation intervention for patients in hospital. Cessation rates were greater in the intensive intervention group, although some of this may be attributable to the higher level of NRT prescribed in this group (44%) over the minimal intervention group (39%) and the usual care group (29%). NRT has previously been shown to augment cessation rates in smokers who are motivated to stop, and to alleviate withdrawal symptoms when combined with patient counselling.1

Cessation rates were higher in this study than in the only other published study of a similar group of patients, which reported intervention and usual care group cessation rates of 14% and 9% (p < 0.05), respectively.2 The higher rates reported by Miller et al may be partly explained by the inclusion of only those patients who were motivated to stop smoking.

Nurses have an important role in encouraging patients to give up smoking.Clark et al found that health education interventions initiated by nurses led to smoking cessation in 17% of those sampled, and another 12% substantially reduced their cigarette consumption. Nurses often feel, however, that they lack appropriate training to do this effectively.3

Hospital admission can be a powerful incentive to stop smoking, and nurses are ideally placed to identify patients who are motivated to stop smoking, to initiate patient education by the bedside, and to provide telephone and face to face follow up and counselling.
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