Clinician advice, an interactive computer program, and motivational counselling during routine medical visits increased reported smoking abstinence among teens


Q Does an intervention comprising brief clinician advice during routine medical visits, an interactive computer program, and brief motivational counselling reduce smoking in adolescent smokers and non-smokers over the long term?

Methods

Design: randomised controlled trial (Teen Reach programme).
Allocation: (concealed).*
Blinding: blinded [data collectors and outcome assessors].*
Follow up period: 1 and 2 years.
Setting: 7 large paediatric and family practice departments in a group practice health maintenance organisation in Portland, Oregon and Vancouver, Washington, USA.
Participants: 2526 adolescents 14–17 years of age (59% girls, 78% white) who were willing to stay after their clinician visit for about 15 minutes and did not intend to leave the geographic area in the next year.
Intervention: 1254 adolescents were allocated to the Teen Reach intervention, which comprised (1) a written prompt for primary care clinicians to encourage teens to quit smoking or to not start (30–60 sec advice message); (2) a 10–12 minute session on the Pathways to Change (PTC) interactive computer program that assessed the stage of readiness to start (or quit) smoking and then provided individualised advice; (3) 3–5 minutes of motivational counselling by trained health counsellors; and (4) 2 individual booster sessions with the PTC program and the health counsellor (during subsequent visits or by telephone) over the subsequent 11 months. 1272 adolescents were allocated to a diet intervention, which served as an attention control and included 3–5 minutes of motivational counselling by health counsellors to promote increased consumption of fruit and vegetables.
Outcomes: self reported smoking abstinence in the 30 days before 1 and 2 year assessments.
Patient follow up: 94% at 1 year and 88% at 2 years.

Conclusions

A smoking cessation intervention comprising brief clinician advice during routine medical visits, an interactive computer program, and brief motivational counselling increased reported smoking abstinence among adolescents at 2 years.

A modified version of this abstract appears in Evidence-Based Medicine.

Teen Reach smoking cessation intervention v diet intervention (control) for smoking abstinence in adolescents*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Teen Reach</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>RBI (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>6.1% (2 to 10)</td>
<td>23 (14 to 67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>6.2% (1 to 11)</td>
<td>24 (14 to 158)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations defined in glossary; RBI, NNT, and CI calculated from control event rate and odds ratio (CI) in article. Based on n = 2524 with multiple imputation for missing values.

Commentary

Tobacco is a leading cause of death worldwide. Interventions to prevent smoking uptake are urgently needed. The study by Hollis et al is an important addition to the evidence. Short follow up (<6 mo) is a limitation of smoking studies, but this study followed up adolescents for 2 years. Study participants were primarily Caucasian, college bound adolescents. More than 50% of smokers at baseline reported they were trying to stop or had stopped smoking in the past 6 months; less motivated adolescents may prove more resistant to this intervention. The intervention was tailored to individuals’ readiness to change, although recent reviews reveal little difference in the effectiveness of programmes that are based on the Stages of Change model and those that are not.1 2 More importantly, data on smoking status was self reported. Hollis et al justified the use of self report, but a recent study found that 20% of participants who reported smoking cessation had cotinine levels consistent with smoking;3 thus, reliance on self report alone may overestimate effectiveness.

The study was pragmatic rather than clinical and therefore is more likely to be applicable to primary care and community based settings. The positive effect and the brevity of the intervention contribute to its feasibility, and brief provider advice to stop smoking overlaps with current recommended practice.

Dawn Kingston, RN, MSc
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

For correspondence: Dr J F Hollis, Center for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente, Portland, OR, USA. jack.hollis@kpchr.org
Source of funding: National Cancer Institute.

Clinician advice, an interactive computer program, and motivational counselling during routine medical visits increased reported smoking abstinence among teens

_Evid Based Nurs_ 2005 8: 105
doi: 10.1136/ebn.8.4.105

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://ebn.bmj.com/content/8/4/105

These include:

References
This article cites 4 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
http://ebn.bmj.com/content/8/4/105#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Child health (471)
Tobacco use (youth) (51)
Health education (344)
Smoking (169)
Tobacco use (170)
Smoking cessation (65)
Childhood nutrition (134)
Childhood nutrition (paediatrics) (78)
Health effects of tobacco use (44)
Adolescent health (93)
Paediatric oncology (41)
Diet (222)
General practice / family medicine (273)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/