Review: intravenous and oral opioids reduce chronic non-cancer pain but are associated with high rates of constipation, nausea, and sleepiness


METHODS


Study selection and assessment: double blind, randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in any language that compared oral, transdermal, or intravenous (IV) World Health Organisation (WHO) step 3 opioids with placebo; included >10 adults/group; and reported pain intensity outcomes assessed using a visual analogue scale, a 0–10 numerical rating scale, or a 4 point categorical scale. Study quality was assessed using the 3 item Jadad scale and a 5 item validity scale.

Outcomes: pain intensity or pain relief. Secondary outcomes were mood, functional status, quality of life (QOL), and adverse events.

MAIN RESULTS

15 RCTs met the selection criteria. Mean study quality score was 4 out of 5, and mean validity score was 14 out of 16. IV opioids. Chronic pain conditions investigated were postherpetic neuralgia (PHN), neuropathic pain, central pain, and phantom pain. 4 trials (n = 120) compared IV opioids with placebo. Of these, each of 3 trials (morphine, 18 mg/40 min and 19 mg/60 min; and fentanyl, 0.87 mg/5 h) found greater pain relief in patients who received opioids than those who received placebo (average pain relief 30% to >60% with opioids and a 5% increase to 25% decrease in pain with placebo; p values 0.001 to 0.04). Oral opioids. A variety of chronic pain conditions were investigated. 11 trials (n = 1025) compared oral opioids with placebo. Of these, each of 8 trials found greater pain relief in patients who received opioids (morphine, 83.5–120 mg/d or oxycodone 40–45 mg/d) than those who received placebo (mean maximum decrease in pain intensity 10% to >60% with opioids and 4% to 30% with placebo; p values 0.0001 to 0.01). 6 trials found that groups did not differ for depression scores, and 3 trials found no difference between groups for self reported levels of overall activity or physical function. 7 trials found that opioids improved quality of sleep. More patients who received opioids reported >1 adverse event than those who received placebo (table). 5 studies reported on signs of withdrawal, and 2 studies observed withdrawal in 4 patients.

CONCLUSION

Intravenous and oral opioids reduce pain intensity in the short term in patients with chronic non-cancer pain but are associated with a higher rate of adverse events than placebo.

Commentary

The use of strong acting opioid analgesics for management of chronic non-cancer pain continues to be controversial despite the advent of consensus statements. The well conducted systematic review by Kalso et al on the efficacy and safety of opioids for this patient population is an important contribution to knowledge. All reviewed studies had good quality and validity scores and provided consistent evidence on the short term benefits of opioids for pain relief. However, other outcomes, such as quality of life and overall functioning, were assessed in only a few studies. Furthermore, only 6 studies assessed the long term effects (6–24 mo) of opioids, and all had unblinded follow up. The authors reported that only 44% of patients continued on long term therapy. These studies did not report on negative outcomes of opioids such as addiction, which are of concern to patients, the public, and healthcare providers. The results of the review by Kalso et al are relevant to nurses who work with patients with chronic non-cancer pain in pain clinics, hospitals, or community settings. At least 2 relevant clinical implications exist from this review. Firstly, pain reductions varied between studies. Secondly, the higher rate of adverse events in the opioid group highlights the dilemma of balancing potential pain relief with safety concerns (rate of adverse events was high in both groups (80% v 56%). These findings support the need to institute preventive measures for such common side effects as constipation and nausea and to closely monitor patients who are taking opioids.

The review by Kalso et al clearly identified the need for longer follow up studies in patients with chronic pain, particularly patients with addiction who have previously been excluded from research studies of opioid use.
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