Review: capillary refill time, abnormal skin turgor, and abnormal respiratory pattern are useful signs for detecting dehydration in children


Q What is the accuracy of signs, symptoms, and laboratory tests for detecting dehydration in children?

METHODS

Data sources: Medline (1966 to April 2003), Cochrane Library, reference lists, and experts in the field.

Study selection and assessment: studies in any language that compared signs, symptoms, and laboratory values with a recognised gold standard for diagnosing dehydration (rehydration weight minus acute weight divided by rehydration weight) in children (0–18 y). Study quality was ranked from highest (level 1 = independent, blind comparison of test with a valid gold standard) to lowest (level 5 = non-independent comparison of test with an uncertain standard of validity, which may incorporate the test result into the gold standard).

Outcomes: sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative likelihood ratios (LRs).

MAIN RESULTS

13 studies (n = 1246) met the selection criteria (4 intermediate quality and 9 low quality). Signs that were clinically useful for detecting 5% dehydration were prolonged capillary refill time, abnormal skin turgor, and abnormal respiratory pattern (table). Dry mucous membranes, sunken eyes, and poor overall appearance were moderately useful in detecting 5% dehydration (table). 3 studies evaluated combinations of signs. In 1 study (n = 100), the combination of abnormal skin turgor, sunken eyes, dry mucous membranes, and a sunken fontanelle increased the likelihood of 10% dehydration being present (LR 3.7, 95% CI 1.6 to 8.1). Another study (n = 97) showed that classification of “severe” on an assessment scale detected ≥5% dehydration in children who required intravenous fluids (LR 3.4, CI 1.5 to 7.7). In the third study (n = 225), the combination of ≥3 of 10 signs was useful for detecting 5% dehydration (sensitivity 87%, specificity 82%). In a pooled analysis of 3 studies (n = 398), history taking (eg, parental report of low urine output) was not accurate for detecting the likelihood of 5% dehydration (LR 1.3, CI 0.9 to 1.9).
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CONCLUSIONS

Prolonged capillary refill time, abnormal skin turgor, and abnormal respiratory pattern are the most useful signs for detecting dehydration in children. Combinations of signs perform better than individual signs.

A modified version of this abstract appears in Evidence-based Medicine.

Commentary

Dehydration is associated with the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in children throughout the world.1 Nurses in various clinical contexts must routinely assess the hydration status of paediatric patients. Identifying the best indicators or combinations of indicators of children’s hydration status will enable practitioners to optimise patient management and minimise unnecessary procedures.

The review by Steiner et al gives credence to the use of some clinical signs when assessing children’s hydration status. Although the authors did a thorough literature search, most of the 13 included studies had low methodological quality (unblinded non-independent comparison of tests with a valid gold standard in a selected sample of patients). The findings are current best evidence but should still be viewed with some caution.

The findings are relevant to paediatric practitioners in both outpatient and inpatient settings. The review indicates that current assessment of hydration is extremely variable and may not be well founded. For example, practitioners often turn to parents for information on a child’s illness, but parental report was found to be a poor indicator of children’s hydration status.

The review by Steiner et al showed that the clinical examination is the most important method of assessing hydration in children. Rating scales incorporating combinations of signs have been developed to expedite diagnosis2 but may not include the combinations this review has identified as being the most predictive of dehydration. Future efforts at developing scales or scoring systems should evaluate the accuracy of signs identified in this review using the best evaluative methods for diagnostic testing.
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Test characteristics of examination signs to detect 5% dehydration in children (age range 2 wks to 15 y)*

*LR = likelihood ratio; other abbreviations defined in glossary. Sensitivity, specificity, +LR, and −LR were calculated from data in article using a random effects model.
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