Review: several interventions prevent ventilator associated pneumonia in critically ill patients


QUESTION: Which interventions prevent ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) in critically ill patients?

Data sources
English language studies were identified by searching Medline (1966–2001) and the Cochrane Library, and by reviewing bibliographies of retrieved articles.

Study selection
Studies were selected if they were randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or observational cohort controlled trials.

Data extraction
Data were extracted on study design, intervention, and outcomes.

Effectiveness of interventions for preventing ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) in critically ill patients*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semirecumbent v supine positioning† (3 trials, n=116)</td>
<td>↓ VAP (1 RCT, n=86); ↓ gastroesophageal reflux and aspiration events (2 controlled clinical trials, n=30); no difference in mortality (1 RCT, n=86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress ulcer prophylaxis: sucralfate v H2 antagonists (7 MAs of &gt;20 RCTs; 1 recent RCT, n=1200)</td>
<td>↓ VAP (4 of 7 MAs). 3 MAs found no difference; ↓ mortality (3 of 4 MAs); equivocal evidence regarding increased gastrointestinal bleeding (2 MAs), 1 recent RCT (n=1200) found increased bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration of subglottic secretions v none† (3 RCTs, n=641)</td>
<td>↓ VAP (2 RCTs, n=486), reduced VAP (1 RCT, n=145), delayed time to VAP development (3 RCTs, n=641); no difference in mortality (3 RCTs, n=641)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscillating v standard non-oscillating beds† (1 MA of 6 RCTs; 1 recent RCT, n=103)</td>
<td>↓ pneumonia (1 MA), no difference in mortality (1 MA, 1 RCT, n=103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective digestive tract decontamination v none† (7 MAs of &gt;40 RCTs)</td>
<td>↓ VAP (7 MAs); ↓ mortality (4 of 7 MAs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical systemic antibiotics v none†</td>
<td>↓ VAP (3 of 3 MAs); ↓ mortality (4 of 4 MAs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical antibiotics alone v none†</td>
<td>↓ VAP (3 of 3 MAs); no difference in mortality (4 of 4 MAs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator circuit management (4 RCTs, n=NA)</td>
<td>no difference in VAP (4 RCTs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewer v more changes in heat and moisture exchangers (1 RCT)</td>
<td>no difference in VAP (1 RCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat and moisture exchanger v humidifier (1 MA of 5 RCTs)</td>
<td>no difference in VAP (4 of 5 RCTs in 1 MA); no difference in mortality (4 of 4 RCTs in 1 MA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteral feeding methods (4 RCTs, n=604)</td>
<td>no difference in VAP or mortality (1 RCT, n=44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestinal v gastric feeding</td>
<td>no difference in VAP or mortality (1 RCT, n=40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoclopramide v none†</td>
<td>no difference in VAP or mortality (1 RCT, n=305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidified v normal feeding†</td>
<td>no difference in VAP or mortality (1 RCT, n=95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent v continuous feeding</td>
<td>no difference in VAP or mortality (1 RCT, n=60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RCT = randomised controlled trial, MA = meta-analysis, NA = not available.
†Information on comparison group provided by author.

COMMENTSARY

VAP is a common condition among critically ill patients and a burden to healthcare systems. Although the incidence of VAP is difficult to determine because of diagnostic variability, research shows a 20–30% mortality rate, longer ICU and hospital stays, and higher hospital costs for patients with VAP. The systematic review by Collard et al provides a thorough analysis of the evidence to date, highlighting the considerable gaps in our knowledge. In selecting studies for inclusion, the authors noted the lack of standardised diagnostic criteria, which prevented pooling of individual study results in a meta-analysis. Diagnostic criteria for VAP may include fever, leukocytosis, purulent secretions, and changes on chest radiography and microbiology. Limitations of existing studies included small sample sizes, lack of power, and equivocal and conflicting findings. Although semirecumbent positioning of all eligible patients appears easy, inexpensive, and relatively uncontroversial, evidence on practices such as oscillation beds and selective digestive decontamination is equivocal. Collard et al correctly attributed these conflicting findings to differences in inclusion criteria, outcome measures, and analyses used in individual studies. They also caution practitioners about the use of selective digestive tract decontamination because of uncertainties about effects of such treatment on antibiotic resistance, although no additional evidence to support this was included in the review.

Although cost may be a barrier for many of these practices, it is important to educate clinicians to take measures to prevent and recognise symptoms, and diagnose VAP. Collard et al also noted that to date, no RCT has evaluated the effectiveness of combined preventative practices. Given the high mortality rate associated with VAP, this research should be a priority.
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