Review: evidence does not support the effectiveness of over the counter preparations for acute cough


QUESTION: In children and adults with acute cough, are over the counter (OTC) cough preparations effective for relieving symptoms?

Data sources
Studies were identified by searching 5 databases; scanning reference lists and personal files of references; and contacting authors and manufacturers.

Study selection
Studies were selected if they were randomised, placebo controlled studies (RCTs) of OTC preparations for acute cough in children and adults in outpatient settings. RCTs of chronic cough (>3 wks duration), cough resulting from underlying respiratory disease, or cough induced artificially by inhalation of chemicals were excluded, as were studies of non-oral, herbal, or homeopathic preparations.

Data extraction
Data were extracted on participant characteristics, smoking status, study setting, randomisation, blinding, loss to follow up, definition of illness, drug dosage, frequency and duration of treatment, and outcomes (cough outcome such as severity, frequency, amount of sputum, and symptoms; and adverse effects).

Main results
21 RCTs (n=2604) met the selection criteria. 15 RCTs involved adults (n=2166) and 6 involved children (n=438). Antitussives: in adults, 2 RCTs showed that codeine was not more effective than placebo for reducing cough in adults; 1 RCT found that dextromethorphan reduced coughing compared with placebo, whereas another found no difference. 1 RCT of moguisteine showed no difference in cough outcomes except in a subgroup of adults with more severe night cough. The moguisteine group had more side effects than the placebo group (22% v 8%, p value not reported). 1 RCT (57 children) showed that neither dextromethorphan nor codeine was more effective than placebo for reducing cough. Expectorants: 1 RCT of guaifenesin in adults showed greater self reported improvement in cough frequency and intensity (75% v 51%, p<0.01). The other RCT showed similar improvement in cough in both treatment and control groups, but guaifenesin led to more adults with reduced sputum thickness (96% v 54%, p<0.001). Mucolytics: in adults, 1 RCT of Bisolvon linctus showed less frequent coughing in the treatment group than in the placebo group (8.6% v 15%, p<0.02). In children, 1 RCT of letosteine showed a mean difference of 0.2 in favour of the letosteine group on a 4 point symptom scale (p<0.01). Antihistamine decongestant combinations: in adults, 1 study of loratadine/pseudoephedrine showed no difference in cough scores between groups, and another showed lower severity of cough in the dexbrompheniramine/pseudoephedrine group than in the placebo group (mean score 1.4 v 2.0, p<0.05). In this study, the treatment group had increased dizziness and dry mouth (p<0.01). In children, 2 RCTs showed that antihistamine decongestant combinations were not significantly better than placebo for reducing cough. Other drug combinations: EM-VIER was more effective than placebo for reducing cough in adults (reduced coughing fits 25% v 11%, p<0.01; reduced urge to cough 27% v 14%, p<0.01). VICKS MEDINITE was rated as at least “good” for relieving cough by more adults in the treatment group than in the placebo group (58% v 32%, p<0.01). A dextromethorphan/salbutamol combination improved night time cough compared with placebo or dextromethorphan alone (mean symptom score 0.19 v 0.67 v 0.44 on d 4, p<0.01). In children, 2 paediatric cough syrups (Triaminol syrup and Dorcol paediatric cough syrup) were not significantly different from placebo for parental report of satisfactory response. Antihistamines: 3 RCTs in adults showed that antihistamines (terfenadine or thonzylamine) were no better than placebo for resolving cough symptoms. 1 RCT in children showed that the antihistamines (dexamethane and chlorpheniramine) were not better than placebo for improving cough scores.

Conclusion
In adults or children with acute cough, the evidence does not support the effectiveness of over the counter preparations.

COMMENTARY
In the first quantitative review of OTC cold medications, the only significant finding was that combination medications reduced cough in children 6–12 years of age.1
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