Review: multidisciplinary disease management programmes do not reduce death or recurrent myocardial infarction but reduce admission to hospital


QUESTION: In patients with coronary artery disease (CAD), do multidisciplinary disease management programmes (DMPs) improve processes of care and reduce morbidity and mortality?

Data sources

Studies were identified by searching Medline (1966–2000), EMBASE/Excerpta Medica (1980–99), CINAHL (1982–99), SIGLE (1980–99), the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register, and the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care Study Register using the terms case management, comprehensive health care, disease management, health services research, home care services, clinical protocols, patient care planning, quality of health care, rehabilitation, nurse led clinics, special clinics, and myocardial ischaemia. Bibliographies of identified studies were scanned and experts were contacted.

Study selection

Studies were selected if they were randomised controlled trials in any language investigating the effect of DMPs on death, myocardial infarction (MI), or patients admitted to hospital with CAD. Exclusion criteria were primary prevention studies, single modality interventions, inpatient interventions, or <50 patients.

Data extraction

Data were extracted by 2 independent reviewers on study quality, duration of intervention, length of follow up, and key components of the intervention.

Main results

12 trials (n=9803) were included. Patients who received DMPs did not have greater reductions in recurrent MI (7 trials) \( p=0.44 \) or all cause mortality (10 trials) \( p=0.40 \) than those who received usual care (table). Admission to hospital was reduced among patients who received the intervention (6 trials) \( p=0.01 \) (table). 5 of 7 trials showed reductions with DMPs in cardiovascular risk factors (cholesterol, smoking, and blood pressure). 5 of 7 trials showed increased prescriptions of \( \geq 1 \) efficacious drug (antiplatelet agents, \( \beta \) blockers, or lipid lowering drugs).

Conclusions

In patients with coronary artery disease, multidisciplinary disease management programmes do not reduce recurrent myocardial infarction or all cause mortality; however, admissions to hospital are reduced.

*\( p \) Values calculated from data in article

**COMMENTARY**

This systematic review by McAlister et al examined the effects of DMPs on processes of care, morbidity, and mortality of patients with known coronary disease. Their results indicate that DMPs do not affect short term morbidity and mortality rates, but they decrease hospital readmission rates and enhance quality of life or functional status.

The relevance of reviewing these data is emphasised by the literature showing the efficacy of cardiac risk factor modification in similar patient populations. The strengths of this review include a thorough search of multiple databases and strict inclusion criteria. Only randomised controlled trials were included, thus, eliminating a larger pool of uncontrolled programme evaluations. The most important limitation is the broad definition of disease management used in this review: “a combination of patient education, provider use of practice guidelines, appropriate consultation, and supplies of drugs and ancillary services.”

Cardiac rehabilitation and secondary prevention programmes are important components of care delivery beyond acute cardiac event management. Overall, this review supports the development, implementation, and evaluation of secondary prevention programmes. Additional recommendations are made with regard to evaluating the cost effectiveness of such programmes and the assessment of long term morbidity and mortality outcomes.

Finally, clarification of the terms cardiac rehabilitation, secondary prevention, and disease management is required before further advances can be made in this field of research.
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