Review: both Gram stain and urine dipstick analysis were accurate in diagnosing urinary tract infection in children


QUESTION: How accurate are rapid diagnostic tests for diagnosing urinary tract infection (UTI) in children?

Data sources
Studies were identified by searching Medline (1966–98) using the terms urine, urinalysis, pyuria, reagent strips, bacteriuria, urinary tract infections, pyelonephritis, and terms related to a paediatric population. Reference lists of relevant studies were scanned, and experts were contacted.

Study selection
Published English language studies were selected if they reported original data; ≥ 1 of urine dipstick (leukocyte esterase, nitrite, or both), Gram stain of unspun urine, or enhanced urinalysis tests were used in the diagnosis of UTI; children ≤12 years of age were included or evaluated separately; urine culture was the diagnostic standard; the diagnostic standard and screening tests were done on all patients and the results were compared; and the definition for a positive screening test result was given.

Data extraction
Data were extracted on participant age, colony count used to define UTI, whether a general or special population was used, methodologic concerns, tests performed, sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing UTI, rate of UTI, and method of obtaining specimens.

Main results
1489 studies were identified and 26 met the selection criteria. Weighted sensitivities, specificities, and likelihood ratios for tests are shown in the table. For predicting a positive urine culture, the presence of any bacteria on a Gram stained urine specimen had the best combination of sensitivity and specificity, with the highest sensitivity. The dipstick test performed nearly as well, with a slightly lower sensitivity for the presence of any nitrite or leukocyte esterase and a slightly higher specificity for the presence of both nitrite and leukocyte esterase.

Conclusion
The Gram stain test of unspun urine and the urine dipstick test performed similarly in detecting urinary tract infections in children.

Test characteristics for the diagnosis of urinary tract infection in children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Weighted sensitivity</th>
<th>Weighted specificity</th>
<th>Weighted +LR²</th>
<th>Weighted −LR²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dipstick, any nitrite only</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipstick, any LE only</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipstick, any nitrite or LE¹</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipstick, both nitrite and LE¹</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram stain, any organisms</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced analysis of spun urine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced analysis of unspun urine</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Likelihood ratios (LRs) defined in Glossary and calculated from data in article. ²LE=leukocyte esterase.

COMMENTARY
Diagnostic guidelines for UTI in children are confusing because primary care textbooks often rely exclusively on culture of catheterised specimens as criteria for a positive diagnosis of UTI. Although this is supported by microbiologic evidence, it is of little help in children who are uncomfortable or febrile, have abnormal urine tests, or whose culture results will take 48 hours to obtain.

This review by Gorelick and Shaw included a large number of published studies with clear and credible criteria for inclusion. Data were then examined by age and culture colony count in an attempt to explain the variability of results, which neither fully explained. The findings of this review are supported by a similar meta-analysis published 6 months earlier by the American Academy of Pediatrics on children ≤2 years of age. They reported a sensitivity and specificity of a positive leukocyte esterase test or a positive nitrite test of 92% and 70%, respectively, compared with 100% and 70%, respectively, for culture of a bagged specimen. The American Academy of Pediatrics study found poor reliability with microscopy of spun urine and suggested that this was because of variation in the expertise of the people who performed the microscopy.

The results of this study are relevant to paediatric and family nurse practitioners and nurses in primary care settings. Nurse practitioners make treatment decisions and nurses in primary care explain treatment plans to patients and families. In addition to the accuracy of the diagnostic technique, primary care practitioners should also consider patient presentation, comfort of the patient and parents, and the need for invasive procedures when making decisions.
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