

Purpose and procedure

The general purpose of *Evidence-Based Nursing* is to select from the health-related literature those articles reporting studies and reviews that warrant immediate attention by nurses attempting to keep pace with important advances in their profession. These articles are summarised in “value added” abstracts and commented on by nurses. The specific purposes of *Evidence-Based Nursing* are

- ▶ to identify, using predefined criteria, the best quantitative and qualitative original and review articles on the meaning, cause, course, assessment, prevention, treatment, or economics of health problems managed by nurses and on quality improvement
- ▶ to summarise this literature in the form of “structured abstracts” that describe the question, methods, results, and evidence-based conclusions of studies in a reproducible and accurate fashion
- ▶ to provide brief, highly expert comment on the context of each article, its methods, and the clinical applications that its findings warrant
- ▶ to disseminate the summaries in a timely fashion to nurses

The RCN Publishing Company Limited and the BMJ Publishing Group publish *Evidence-Based Nursing* under the editorship of Dr Donna Ciliska at McMaster University in Canada, Dr Andrew Jull at the University of Auckland in New Zealand, and Dr Carl Thompson at the University of York in the UK. The Health Information Research Unit (HIRU) of the Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics at McMaster University hosts the editorial office for the production of the abstracts and commissioning of commentaries. Dr Brian Haynes acts as coordinating editor to ensure that methods and procedures are consistent with other evidence-based journals prepared by HIRU.

CRITERIA FOR SELECTION AND REVIEW OF ARTICLES FOR ABSTRACTING

All articles in a journal issue are considered for abstracting if they meet the following basic and category-specific criteria:

Basic criteria

- ▶ original or review articles
- ▶ in English
- ▶ quantitative and qualitative studies
- ▶ about topics that are important to the clinical practice of nurses in any setting
- ▶ analysis of data consistent with the study question

Category-specific criteria (quantitative studies)

Studies of prevention or treatment must also include:

- ▶ random allocation of participants to comparison groups
- ▶ follow-up (end-point assessment) of $\geq 80\%$ of those entering the investigation
- ▶ outcome measures of known or probable clinical importance

Studies of assessment (screening or diagnosis) must also include:

- ▶ a spectrum of participants, some (but not all) of whom have the condition of interest
- ▶ an objective diagnostic (gold) standard (eg, central venous pressure) or current clinical standard for diagnosis (eg, sphygmomanometer reading for hypertension), preferably

with documentation of reproducible criteria for subjectively interpreted diagnostic standard (ie, report of statistically significant measure of agreement beyond chance among observers)

- ▶ interpretation of the diagnostic standard without knowledge of test result
- ▶ interpretation of the test without knowledge of diagnostic standard result

Studies of prognosis must also include:

- ▶ an inception cohort (first onset or assembled at a uniform point in the development of a condition or disease) of individuals, all initially free of the outcome of interest
- ▶ follow-up of $\geq 80\%$ of participants until a major study endpoint occurs or the study ends

Studies of causation must also include:

- ▶ observations of the relation between modifiable exposures and putative clinical outcomes
- ▶ prospective data collection with clearly identified comparison group(s) for those at risk of, or having, the outcome of interest (ie, randomised controlled trials, quasi-randomised controlled trials, non-randomised controlled trials, cohort studies with case by case matching or statistical adjustment to create comparable groups, or nested case-control studies)
- ▶ blinding (masking) of observers of outcome to exposure; criterion assumed to be met if outcome is objective (eg, all-cause mortality)

Studies of quality improvement or continuing education must also include:

- ▶ random allocation of participants or units to comparison groups
- ▶ follow-up of $\geq 80\%$ of participants
- ▶ outcome measures of known or probable clinical importance

Studies of the economics of healthcare interventions must include:

- ▶ an economic question comparing alternative courses of action
- ▶ comparison of alternative diagnostic or therapeutic services or quality improvement activities on the basis of both the outcomes produced (effectiveness) and resources consumed (costs)
- ▶ evidence of effectiveness from a study (or studies) of real (not hypothetical) patients, which meet(s) the criteria for treatment, assessment, quality improvement, or a systematic review article
- ▶ results presented in terms of the incremental or additional costs and outcomes of one intervention over another
- ▶ a sensitivity analysis if there is uncertainty in the estimates or imprecision in the measurement

Clinical prediction guides must also include:

- ▶ generation of the guide in ≥ 1 set of patients (training or derivation set)
- ▶ validation of the guide in an independent set of patients (test or validation set)

Systematic review articles must also include:

- ▶ a clear statement of the clinical topic being reviewed
- ▶ a clear description of the sources and methods for identifying articles
- ▶ specification of the inclusion and exclusion criteria for selecting articles for detailed review
- ▶ ≥1 article in the review that meets the above noted criteria for treatment, assessment, prognosis, causation, quality improvement, economics, or clinical prediction guides

Qualitative studies

- ▶ content reflects the phenomenon of interest from the perspective of people experiencing it
- ▶ data collection methods are appropriate for qualitative data
- ▶ analyses are appropriate for qualitative data

These criteria are subject to modification if, for example, it becomes feasible to apply higher standards that increase the validity and applicability of studies for clinical practice. The objective of *Evidence-Based Nursing* is to abstract only the very best literature, consistent with a reasonable number of articles "making it through the filter."

Articles meeting the criteria set out above are abstracted according to the procedure for more informative abstracts,¹ with the following modifications: abstracts are approximately 400 words in length; and each abstract is reviewed by an expert in the content area covered by the article. This expert writes a commentary in which she or he compares the study findings to previous research findings, identifies any important methodological problems that affect interpretation of the study results, and offers recommendations for clinical application. The author of the original article is given an opportunity to review the abstract and commentary before publication.

On a quarterly basis, we will publish to the *Evidence-Based Nursing* website (www.evidencebasednursing.com) a selected list of articles that passed all criteria but were not abstracted because, in the judgment of the editors, their findings were less applicable to general nursing practice, the topic was of interest to only a select group of nurse specialists, or the topic was recently addressed in another abstract.

1. **Haynes RB**, Mulrow CD, Huth EJ, et al. More informative abstracts revisited. *Ann Intern Med* 1990;113:69-76.

Journals currently reviewed*

Acad Emerg Med	Arthritis Rheum: Arthritis Care Res	Health Psychol	J Rheumatol
Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand	Arthroscopy	Health Technol Assess	J Shoulder Elbow Surg
Acta Orthop	Aust J Physiother	Heart	J Trauma
Age Ageing	Birth	Heart Lung	J Vasc Surg
Aliment Pharmacol Ther	BJOG	Int J Clin Pract	JAMA
Am J Cardiol	BMJ	Int J Nurs Stud	Kidney Int
Am J Clin Nutr	Br J Gen Pract	Int J Obes (Lond)	Lancet
Am J Epidemiol	Br J Psychiatry	J Adv Nurs	Lancet Neurol
Am J Gastroenterol	Br J Surg	J Allerg Clin Immunol	Lancet Oncology
Am J Kidney Dis	Can J Anaesth	J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry	Mayo Clin Proc
Am J Med	Can J Gastroenterol	J Am Acad Dermatol	Med Care
Am J Obstet Gynecol	Can J Nurs Res	J Am Coll Cardiol	Med J Aust
Am J Occup Ther	Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH)	J Am Coll Surg	Midwifery
Am J Psychiatry	Cancer Nurs	J Am Diet Assoc	N Engl J Med
Am J Public Health	Cephalalgia	J Am Geriatr Soc	Neurology
Am J Respir Crit Care Med	Chest	J Am Soc Nephrol	Nurs Res
Am J Sports Med	Circulation	J Arthroplasty	Nutr J
Anesth Analg	Clin Chem	J Bone Joint Surg Am	Obesity (Silver Spring)
Anesthesiology	Clin J Am Soc Nephrol	J Bone Joint Surg Br	Obesity Surgery
Ann Allerg Asthma Immunol	Clin J Pain	J Child Psychol Psychiatry	Obstet Gynecol
Ann Emerg Med	Clin Orthop Rel Res	J Clin Epidemiol	Oncol Nurs Forum
Ann Fam Med	Clin Rehab	J Clin Nurs	Pain
Ann Intern Med	CMAJ	J Clin Oncol	Patient Educ Couns
Ann Neurol	Cochrane Database Syst Rev	J Clin Psychopharmacol	Pediatrics
Ann Rheum Dis	Crit Care Med	J Consult Clin Psychol	Pharmacotherapy
Ann Surg	Diabet Med	J Fam Pract	Phys Ther
ANS Adv Nurs Sci	Diabetes Care	J Gen Intern Med	Prev Med
Appl Nurs Res	Diabetes Obes Metab	J Hand Surg [Am]	Psychosom Med
Arch Dermatol	Eur Heart J	J Hand Surg Eur Vol	Qual Health Res
Arch Dis Child	EvidRep Technol Assess (Summ)	J Infect Dis	Radiology
Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed	Fam Pract	J Manipulative Physiol Ther	Res Nurs Health
Arch Gen Psychiatry	Foot Ankle Int	J Neuro Neurosurg Psychiatry	Rheumatology (Oxford)
Arch Intern Med	Gastroenterology	J Neurosurg	Soc Sci Med
Arch Neurol	Gut	J Nurs Scholarsh	Spine
Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med	Headache	J Orthop Trauma	Spine J
Arch Phys Med Rehabil	Health Educ Behav	J Pediatr	Stroke
Arch Surg		J Pediatr Oncol Nurs	Thorax
Arthritis Rheum		J Pediatric Orthop	West J Nurs Res

*This list is subject to modification based on the relative performance of each journal according to the set criteria.



Purpose and procedure

Evid Based Nurs 2009 12: 33-34
doi: 10.1136/ebn.12.2.33

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://ebn.bmj.com/content/12/2/33>

	<i>These include:</i>
References	This article cites 1 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at: http://ebn.bmj.com/content/12/2/33#BIBL
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.
Topic Collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections Hypertension (220) Screening (public health) (186)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>