1

Purpose and procedure

The general purpose of *Evidence-Based Nursing* is to select from the health-related literature those articles reporting studies and reviews that warrant immediate attention by nurses attempting to keep pace with important advances in their profession. These articles are summarised in "value added" abstracts and commented on by nurses. The specific purposes of *Evidence-Based Nursing* are

- ▶ to identify, using predefined criteria, the best quantitative and qualitative original and review articles on the meaning, cause, course, assessment, prevention, treatment, or economics of health problems managed by nurses and on quality improvement
- ▶ to summarise this literature in the form of "structured abstracts" that describe the question, methods, results, and evidence-based conclusions of studies in a reproducible and accurate fashion
- ▶ to provide brief, highly expert comment on the context of each article, its methods, and the clinical applications that its findings warrant
- ▶ to disseminate the summaries in a timely fashion to nurses

The RCN Publishing Company Limited and the BMJ Publishing Group publish *Evidence-Based Nursing* under the editorship of Dr Donna Ciliska at McMaster University in Canada, Dr Andrew Jull at the University of Auckland in New Zealand, and Dr Carl Thompson at the University of York in the UK. The Health Information Research Unit (HIRU) of the Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics at McMaster University hosts the editorial office for the production of the abstracts and commissioning of commentaries. Dr Brian Haynes acts as coordinating editor to ensure that methods and procedures are consistent with other evidence-based journals prepared by HIRU.

CRITERIA FOR SELECTION AND REVIEW OF ARTICLES FOR ABSTRACTING

All articles in a journal issue are considered for abstracting if they meet the following basic and category-specific criteria:

Basic criteria

- original or review articles
- ▶ in English
- quantitative and qualitative studies
- about topics that are important to the clinical practice of nurses in any setting
- ▶ analysis of data consistent with the study question

Category-specific criteria (quantitative studies)

Studies of prevention or treatment must also include:

- lacktriangleright random allocation of participants to comparison groups
- ► follow-up (end-point assessment) of ≥80% of those entering the investigation
- ▶ outcome measures of known or probable clinical impor-tance

Studies of assessment (screening or diagnosis) must also include:

- ► a spectrum of participants, some (but not all) of whom have the condition of interest
- ▶ an objective diagnostic (gold) standard (eg, central venous pressure) or current clinical standard for diagnosis (eg,

- sphygmomanometer reading for hypertension), preferably with documentation of reproducible criteria for subjectively interpreted diagnostic standard (ie, report of statistically significant measure of agreement beyond chance among observers)
- ► interpretation of the diagnostic standard without knowledge of test result
- ► interpretation of the test without knowledge of diagnostic standard result

Studies of prognosis must also include:

- ▶ an inception cohort (first onset or assembled at a uniform point in the development of a condition or disease) of individuals, all initially free of the outcome of interest
- ▶ follow-up of ≥80% of participants until a major study endpoint occurs or the study ends

Studies of causation must also include:

- observations of the relation between modifiable exposures and putative clinical outcomes
- ▶ prospective data collection with clearly identified comparison group(s) for those at risk of, or having, the outcome of interest (ie, randomised controlled trials, quasi-randomised controlled trials, non-randomised controlled trials, cohort studies with case by case matching or statistical adjustment to create comparable groups, or nested case-control studies)
- ▶ blinding (masking) of observers of outcome to exposure; criterion assumed to be met if outcome is objective (eg, all-cause mortality)

Studies of quality improvement or continuing education must also include:

- random allocation of participants or units to comparison groups
- ► follow-up of ≥80% of participants
- outcome measures of known or probable clinical importance

Studies of the economics of healthcare interventions must include:

- ▶ an economic question comparing alternative courses of action
- ► comparison of alternative diagnostic or therapeutic services or quality improvement activities on the basis of both the outcomes produced (effectiveness) and resources consumed (costs)
- evidence of effectiveness from a study (or studies) of real (not hypothetical) patients, which meet(s) the criteria for treatment, assessment, quality improvement, or a systematic review article
- ► results presented in terms of the incremental or additional costs and outcomes of one intervention over another
- ► a sensitivity analysis if there is uncertainty in the estimates or imprecision in the measurement

Clinical prediction guides must also include:

► generation of the guide in ≥1 set of patients (training or derivation set)

EBN January 2008 Vol 11 No 1

 validation of the guide in an independent set of patients (test or validation set)

Systematic review articles must also include:

- ▶ a clear statement of the clinical topic being reviewed
- ► a clear description of the sources and methods for identifying articles
- specification of the inclusion and exclusion criteria for selecting articles for detailed review
- ▶ ≥1 article in the review that meets the above noted criteria for treatment, assessment, prognosis, causation, quality improvement, economics, or clinical prediction guides

Qualitative studies

Arthroscopy

- ► content reflects the phenomenon of interest from the perspective of people experiencing it
- ▶ data collection methods are appropriate for qualitative data
- ▶ analyses are appropriate for qualitative data

These criteria are subject to modification if, for example, it becomes feasible to apply higher standards that increase the validity and applicability of studies for clinical practice. The objective of *Evidence-Based Nursing* is to abstract only the very

best literature, consistent with a reasonable number of articles "making it through the filter."

Articles meeting the criteria set out above are abstracted according to the procedure for more informative abstracts,¹ with the following modifications: abstracts are approximately 400 words in length; and each abstract is reviewed by an expert in the content area covered by the article. This expert writes a commentary in which she or he compares the study findings to previous research findings, identifies any important methodological problems that affect interpretation of the study results, and offers recommendations for clinical application. The author of the original article is given an opportunity to review the abstract and commentary before publication.

On an quarterly basis, we will publish to the *Evidence-Based Nursing* website (www.evidencebasednursing.com) a selected list of articles that passed all criteria but were not abstracted because, in the judgment of the editors, their findings were less applicable to general nursing practice, the topic was of interest to only a select group of nurse specialists, or the topic was recently addressed in another abstract.

 Haynes RB, Mulrow CD, Huth EJ, et al. More informative abstracts revisited. Ann Intern Med 1990;113:69–76.

Aust J Physiother J Trauma Acad Emerg Med Heart Lung Int J Clin Pract J Vasc Surg Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand Birth BJOG Int J Nurs Stud **JAMA** Acta Orthop Age Ageing **BMJ** Int J Obes Kidney Int Br J Gen Pract Lancet Aliment Pharmacol Ther J Adv Nurs Lancet Neurol Br J Psychiatry J Allerg Clin Immunol Am J Cardiol Br J Surg J Am Acad Child Adolesc Lancet Oncology Am J Clin Nutr Can J Gastroenterol Psychiatry Mayo Clin Proc Am J Epidemiol Can J Nurs Res J Am Acad Dermatol Med Care Am J Gastroenterol Canadian Agency for Drugs and J Am Coll Cardiol Am J Kidney Dis Med J Aust Am J Med Technologies in Health J Am Coll Surg Midwifery (CADTH) J Am Diet Assoc N Engl J Med Am J Obstet Gynecol Cancer Nurs J Am Geriatr Soc Neurology Am J Occup Ther Cephalalgia J Am Soc Nephrol Nurs Res Am J Psychiatry Chest J Arthroplasty Nutr J Am J Public Health Am J Respir Crit Care Med Circulation J Bone Joint Surg Am Obesity J Bone Joint Surg Br Am J Sports Med Clin Chem **Obesity Surgery** Ann Allerg Asthma Immunol Clin J Am Soc Nephrol J Child Psychol Psychiatry Obstet Gynecol Clin Orthop Rel Res J Clin Epidemiol Oncol Nurs Forum Ann Emerg Med J Clin Nurs Clin Rehab Ann Fam Med **CMAJ** J Clin Oncol Patient Educ Couns Ann Intern Med J Clin Psychopharmacol Cochrane Database Syst Rev **Pediatrics** Ann Neurol Crit Care Med J Consult Clin Psychol Pharmacotherapy Ann Rheum Dis J Fam Pract Diabet Med Phys Ther Ann Sura Diabetes Care J Gen Intern Med Prev Med ANS Adv Nurs Sci Diabetes Obes Metab J Hand Surg [Am] Psychosom Med Appl Nurs Res Arch Dermatol Eur Heart J J Hand Surg [Br] Qual Health Res Radiology Evid Rep Technol Assess J Infect Dis Arch Dis Child J Manipulative Physiol Ther (Summ) Res Nurs Health Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry Arch Gen Psychiatry Fam Pract Rheumatology Arch Intern Med Foot Ankle J Neurosurg Soc Sci Med Gastroenterol J Nurs Scholarsh Spine Arch Neurol J Orthop Trauma Spine J Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med Gut Headache J Pediatr Stroke Arch Phys Med Rehabil Health Educ Behav J Pediatr Oncol Nurs Thorax Arch Surg West J Nurs Res Health Psychol J Pediatric Orthop Arthritis Rheum Health Technol Assess Arthritis Rhem: Arthritis Care Res J Rheumatol

Journals currently reviewed*

2 *EBN* January 2008 Vol 11 No 1

J Shoulder Elbow Surg

Heart

*This list is subject to modification based on the relative performance of each journal according to the set criteria