Review: the STRATIFY prediction tool has limited accuracy for predicting falls in hospital and geriatric rehabilitation inpatients

QUESTION

How well does the STRATIFY tool predict falls in hospital and geriatric rehabilitation inpatients?

REVIEW SCOPE

Included studies evaluated the STRATIFY tool (score range 0– 5) for predicting falls in hospital inpatients exclusively, and reported sufficient data to calculate the *outcomes* of interest, including number of falls or patients who fell, sensitivity, and specificity.

REVIEW METHODS

Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE/Excerpta Medica, AARP Ageline, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, *ACP Journal Club*, DARE, and Controlled Clinical Trials Register (all 1997 to Feb 2006), and reference lists were searched for prospective studies that included original data and were published in peerreviewed journals or letters to the editor. Studies that used the STRATIFY tool with a cut-off score \geq 2 exclusively in a geriatric rehabilitation population to predict patients who fell were included in the meta-analysis. 8 studies met the selection criteria: 4 (n = 1285, mean age range 67–81 y, mean follow-up 10–50 d) were done in geriatric rehabilitation settings, and 4 (n = 2599, mean age range 78-84 y, mean follow-up 15 d to 2 mo) were done in acute medical or mixed medical/rehabilitation settings.

MAIN RESULTS

Pooled data from 4 studies done in geriatric rehabilitation settings showed that STRATIFY scores ≥ 2 had a sensitivity of 67% and specificity of 51% for predicting patients who fell (table). Data from 4 studies done in acute or mixed settings were not pooled. In these studies, cut-off scores for predicting falls and predictive ability of the STRATIFY tool varied (sensitivity range 19–93%, specificity 49–88%, {positive like-lihood ratio [LR] 1.6 to 7.8, and negative LR 0.08 to 0.92}*).

CONCLUSION

The STRATIFY tool has limited accuracy for predicting falls in hospital and geriatric rehabilitation inpatients.

*Calculated from data in article.

ABSTRACTED FROM

Oliver D, Papaioannou A, Giangregorio L, *et al*. A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies using the STRATIFY tool for prediction of falls in hospital patients: how well does it work? *Age Ageing* 2008;**37**:621–7.

Correspondence to: Dr D Oliver, City University, London, UK

Source of funding: no external funding.

► Clinical impact ratings: Elderly care 5/7; General/internal medicine 5/7; Rehabilitation 5/7

Pooled data for STRATIFY scores \geq 2 for predicting patients who fell in geriatric rehabilitation settings at a mean follow-up of 10–50 days*

Number of trials (n)	Patients who fell	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (CI)	Positive likelihood ratio	Negative likelihood ratio
4 (1285)	18%	67 (61 to 74)	51 (43 to 59)	1.4	0.64

Several tools currently exist for fall risk identification, such as Morse, Hendrich II, and STRATIFY.⁴ The most widely reported is the Morse Risk Assessment Tool; however, it has the lowest specificity and positive predictive value (PPV) of the 3 tools. Hendrich II has the best specificity and PPV overall.⁴

The review by Oliver *et al* evaluated the STRATIFY fall risk identification tool. Although STRATIFY is an easy tool to use, it had low specificity (51%) and PPV (23%). Only 4 of 8 studies included in the review met

criteria for the meta-analysis and all involved geriatric rehabilitation patients.

The value of the study by Oliver *et al* is 2-fold. First, it shows that the STRATIFY tool is not operationally useful in geriatric rehabilitation inpatient settings; and second, that sensitivity, specificity, and PPV of the tool vary across settings and populations. It is important for nurses and other healthcare professionals to use fall risk assessment tools that have good sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values for specific clinical settings and populations. However, as noted by Oliver *et al*, fall risk assessment tools have limitations, so nurses should always conduct post-fall assessments and implement risk-minimisation plans for common risk factors identified in their patients.

Susan Kasseroler, RN, MSN, GNP-BC

Christus Hospital—St Elizabeth Beaumont, Texas, USA

- Tzeng HM, Yin CY. Nurses' solutions to prevent inpatient falls in hospital patient rooms. *Nurs Econ* 2008;26:179–87.
- The Joint Commission. Defining the problem of falls. In Smith IJ, editor. *Reducing the risk of falls in your health care organization*. Oakbrook Terrace, IL; The Joint Commission on Accreditation, 2005: 13–27.
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Quality measures for reporting in fiscal year 2009 for 2010 update. 2008. www.cms.hhs.gov/apps/media/ press/factsheet.asp?Counter=30446intNumPerPage= 106checkDate=&checkKey=&srchType=1&numDays=3500&srchOpt=0&srchData=&keywordType=All&chkNewsType=6&intPage=&showAll=&pYear=&year=&desc=false&cboOrder=date (accessed 25 Mar 2008).
- Currie L. Fall and injury prevention. In: Hughes RG, editor. Patient safety and quality: an evidence-based handbook for nurses. AHRQ Publication No 08–0043. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2008.